arXiv:1411.5420v1 [math.AP] 20 Nov 2014

HEAT TRACES AND EXISTENCE OF SCATTERING RESONANCES FOR BOUNDED POTENTIALS

HART SMITH AND MACIEJ ZWORSKI

Abstract. We show that any real valued bounded potential with compact support, $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$, n odd, has at least one scattering resonance. For $n \geq 3$ this was previously known only for sufficiently smooth potentials. The proof is based on the following inverse result:

$$V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}),\quad t^{\frac{n}{2}}\operatorname{tr}(e^{t(\Delta-V)}-e^{t\Delta}) \in C^\infty([0,\infty)) \iff V\in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}).$$

1. Introduction and statements of results

Let $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$ be a bounded, compactly supported, real valued potential and let $n \geq 3$ be odd. We consider the Schrödinger operator,

$$P_V = -\Delta + V(x), \tag{1.1}$$

and ask the question whether P_V always (for $V \neq 0$) has infinitely many scattering resonances. Scattering resonances are defined defined as poles of the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent

$$R_V(\lambda) := (-\Delta + V - \lambda^2)^{-1},\quad n \text{ odd}, \tag{1.2}$$

from $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$ to $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. These poles have many interesting interpretations and in particular appear in expansions of solutions to the wave equation – see §2 and references given there. For n even the situation is more complicated as the meromorphic continuation has a logarithmic branch singularity at $\lambda = 0$ – see [ChHi10] and references given there. Here we prove that

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$ and that n is odd. Then the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent (1.2),*

$$R_V(\lambda) : L^2_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n),\quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

has at least one pole. If $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then R_V has infinitely many poles.

For $V \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$ existence of infinitely many resonances was proved by Melrose [Me95] for $n = 3$ and by Sá Barreto–Zworski [SaZw96] for all odd n. Soon afterwards

quantitative statements about the counting function, $N(r)$, of resonances in $\{|\lambda| \leq r\}$ were obtained by Christiansen [Ch99] and Sá Barreto [Sa01]:

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{N(r)}{r} > 0.$$

For potentials generic in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{F})$ or $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{F})$, $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, Christiansen and Hislop [Ch05],[ChHi05] proved a stronger statement

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log N(r)}{\log r} = n. \tag{1.3}$$

This means that the upper bound $N(r) \leq Cr^n$ from [Zw89] is optimal for generic complex or real valued potentials. The only case of asymptotics $\sim r^n$ for *non-radial* potentials was provided by Dinh and Vu [DiVu13] who proved that a large class of L^∞ potentials supported in $B(0,1)$ has resonances satisfying a Weyl law.

To prove Theorem 1 we proceed by contradiction, as in [BaSa95], [Me95] and [SaZw96], and assume that there are no resonances. By a direct argument (Proposition 2.1) this implies that the scattering phase is a polynomial. This in turn implies (Proposition 2.2) that the heat trace has an asymptotic expansion. The main result of this note, Theorem 2 below, shows that this implies that $V \in C_c^\infty$, and since it is real valued we obtain a contradiction by [Me95] and [SaZw96]. (We provide a direct argument of the contradiction in §2.) See §2.4 for why our arguments do not yield a contradiction for a finite number of resonances if $n \geq 5$ and $V \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})$.

Although we expect (1.3), or possible even $N(r) > r^n/C$ when $r \gg 1$, to be true for all non-zero real valued potentials, Christiansen gave classes of examples of non-zero $V \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C})$ which have no resonances. Potentials $V \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{C})$ are, however, known to have infinitely many resonances if

$$\int V^2 dx \neq 0. \tag{1.4}$$

The condition (1.4) arises naturally from the use of heat trace coefficients in scattering asymptotics.

Our argument outlined above depends on the following, which is the principal new result of this paper.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that P_V is given by (1.1), and $V \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$, where $n \geq 1$ may be even or odd. If*

$$t^{\frac{n}{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}\right) \in C^\infty([0,\infty)) \tag{1.5}$$

then $V \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2 is a direct consequence of a more precise result presented in Theorem 3 in §3. The study of heat expansions has a very long tradition going back to Kac,

Berger and McKean–Singer – see [CdV12], [Gil04], [HiPo03] for more recent accounts and references. Theorem 2, although not surprising, seems to be new. However, closely related inverse results are well known. They concern recovering Sobolev norms from the Taylor expansion coefficients of (1.5) for smooth potentials, and using the resulting a priori bounds to prove compactness of sets of isospectral potentials – see Brüning [Br84] and Donnelly [Do04], and for the origins of that approach, McKean–van Moerbeke [McMo75].

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we review the scattering theory needed for the proof of Theorem 1. For detailed proofs we refer to the original papers and to the online notes [DyZw]. The section on the heat trace §3 is by contrast completely self-contained. Some aspects of the approach in §3 appear to be new, in particular the use of Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Moser inequalities in a bootstrap regularity scheme.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Gunther Uhlmann for a helpful discussion, in particular for reminding us of the references [Br84] and [McMo75], and Tanya Christiansen for helpful comments on the first version of this note. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants DMS-1161283(HS) and DMS-1201417(MZ).

2. Review of scattering theory

Here we recall various facts in scattering theory and show how Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2.

2.1. **The scattering matrix.** The continued resolvent, $R_V(\lambda)$, given in (1.2) does not have any poles on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ – that is a well known consequence of the Rellich uniqueness theorem – see [DyZw, §3.6]. This implies that, for $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\omega\in\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, there exist (unique) solutions to

$$\begin{gathered}(P_V-\lambda^2)w(x,\lambda,\omega)=0,\quad w(x,\lambda,\omega)=e^{-i\lambda\langle x,\omega\rangle}+u(x,\lambda,\omega),\\ u(x,\lambda,\omega)=|x|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{i\lambda|x|}\left(b(\lambda,x/|x|,\omega)+\mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1})\right),\quad |x|\to\infty.\end{gathered}\tag{2.1}$$

The *radiation pattern* $b(\lambda,\theta,\omega)$, is the observed field in a scattering experiment. The *scattering matrix*, $S_V(\lambda)$, can be defined using $b(\lambda,\theta,\omega)$. This definition is not the most intuitive, and we refer to [DyZw, §3.7] for motivation. Here we define $S_V(\lambda):L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\to L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ as

$$\begin{gathered}S_V(\lambda)f(\theta)=f(\theta)+\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}a(\lambda,\theta,\omega)f(\omega)d\omega,\\ a(\lambda,\theta,\omega):=(2\pi)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{\frac{\pi}{4}(n-1)i}\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}b(\lambda,\theta,-\omega).\end{gathered}\tag{2.2}$$

We also have the following useful representations of $a(\lambda,\theta,\omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} a(\lambda,\theta,\omega) &= a_n\lambda^{n-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda\langle x,\theta\rangle}V(x)w(x,\lambda,-\omega)dx \\ &= a_n\lambda^{n-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda\langle x,\omega-\theta\rangle}(1-e^{-i\lambda\langle x,\omega\rangle})R_V(\lambda)(e^{\lambda\langle\bullet,\omega\rangle}V)(x)dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $a_n = (2\pi)^{-n+1}/2i$.

The scattering matrix is unitary for λ real, and from (2.3) we see that it continues meromorphically to all of $\mathbb{C}$. Hence we have

$$S_V(\lambda)^{-1} = S_V(\bar\lambda)^*, \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{2.4}$$

Another symmetry comes from changing λ to $-\lambda$:

$$S_V(\lambda)^{-1} = JS_V(-\lambda)J, \quad Jf(\theta) := f(-\theta). \tag{2.5}$$

The operator $S_V(\lambda)-I$ is of trace class, and hence $\det S_V(\lambda)$ is well defined. The following result, see [DyZw, Theorem 3.4] or [Zw97], is important for the investigation of scattering resonances:

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $V\in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$, where n is odd. Then $\det S_V(\lambda)$ is a meromorphic function of order n. More precisely,*

$$\det S_V(\lambda) = (-1)^m\left(\prod_{k=1}^K \frac{i\mu_k+\lambda}{i\mu_k-\lambda}\right)\frac{P(-\lambda)}{P(\lambda)}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mu_k\geq 0$, $-\mu_1^2 < -\mu_2^2\leq\cdots\leq -\mu_K^2\leq 0$ are the eigenvalues of P_V, included according to multiplicity, $P(\lambda)$ is entire and non-zero for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda\geq 0$, and

$$|P(\lambda)|\leq C_\varepsilon e^{C_\varepsilon r^{n+\varepsilon}}, \qquad \text{for any } \varepsilon>0. \tag{2.7}$$

The power m in (2.6) is the multiplicity of the zero resonance, $m=0$ or 1 for $n=1,3$ and $m=0$ for for $n\geq 5$; see [DyZw, §3.3] and [JeKa79].

We make the following observation based on the second representation in (2.3):

$$\lambda \text{ is a pole of } \det S_V \implies \lambda \text{ is a pole of } S_V \implies \lambda \text{ is a pole of } R_V. \tag{2.8}$$

A more precise statement is possible (see [DyZw, Theorem 3.42]) but we do not need it here. To show existence of poles of R_V we only need to show existence of poles of $\det S_V$.

2.2. **A trace formula.** The tool connecting the scattering matrix to the heat trace is the Birman–Krein trace formula. In §3 we will recall the argument showing that $e^{-tP_V}-e^{-tP_0}$ is of trace class.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$. Then, in the notation of Proposition 2.1,*

$$\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty \operatorname{tr}\left(S_V(\lambda)^{-1}\partial_\lambda S_V(\lambda)\right) e^{-t\lambda^2}\, d\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^K e^{t\mu_k^2} + \tfrac{1}{2}m. \tag{2.9}$$

If $V \in C^\infty_c$, this is proved for $n = 3$ in [CdV81], and for $n \geq 5$ in [Gu81] and references given there. The proofs for $V \in L^\infty_c$ can be found in [DyZw, §3.8, §4.6].

Since $|\det S_V(\lambda)| = 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (which follows from (2.4), the unitarity of the scattering matrix) we can define the winding number of the scattering phase:

$$\sigma(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\log\det S_V(\lambda), \qquad \sigma'(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\operatorname{tr}\left(S_V(\lambda)^{-1}\partial_\lambda S_V(\lambda)\right), \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}.$$

In the case of $V \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})$, n odd, $\sigma(\lambda)$ admits a full asymptotic expansion for $\lambda\to\infty$, with only odd powers of λ except for the constant term. When $n = 3$,

$$\theta(+\infty) - \theta(0) = K + \tfrac{1}{2}m, \quad \theta(\lambda) := \sigma(\lambda) + \lambda\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x)dx\right),$$

and for $n \geq 5$,

$$\theta(+\infty) - \theta(0) = K, \quad \theta(\lambda) := \sigma(\lambda) - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} c_k(V)\lambda^{n-2k},$$

where $c_k(V)$ are the coefficients in the expansion of $\sigma(\lambda)$. For proofs see [CdV81], [Gu81], [DyZw, §3.7], and for less regular potentials but fewer expansion terms [Je90].

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** If V has no resonances then Proposition 2.1 shows that

$$\det S_V(\lambda) = \frac{P(-\lambda)}{P(\lambda)},$$

where $P(\lambda)$ is an entire function with no zeros and of order n. This implies that $P(\lambda) = e^{G(\lambda)}$ where $G(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree at most n; see for instance [Ti64, 8.24]. Defining the odd polynomial $g(\lambda) = (G(-\lambda) - G(\lambda))/(2\pi i)$, we obtain

$$\det S_V(\lambda) = e^{2\pi i g(\lambda)}, \qquad \sigma'(\lambda) = g'(\lambda).$$

The unitarity of $S_V(\lambda)$ for λ real shows that $g(\lambda)$ has real coefficients, $g(\lambda) = a_0\lambda^n + a_1\lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + a_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\lambda$. Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma'(\lambda)\, e^{-t\lambda^2} d\lambda \;=\; t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} a'_j t^j, \tag{2.10}$$

where $a'_n := a_n\Gamma(n/2 - j + 1)$.

We now insert (2.10) into the trace formula (2.9) to see that $t^{n/2}\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0})$ has a full asymptotic expansion $\sum_{j=0}^\infty c_j t^j$ as $t\to 0+$. That means that the assumption

of Theorem 2 is satisfied, and hence $V \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$. But the result of [SaZw96] (see also [DyZw, §3.7]) then contradicts our assumption that V has no resonances: every nonzero potential in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})$ has to have infinitely many resonances.

Christiansen's argument [Ch99] that there must be at least one resonance for nonzero $V \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$ is simple and elegant, and we reproduce it here. As above, absence of resonances would imply that $\sigma'(\lambda) = a_0'\lambda^{n-1} + a_1'\lambda^{n-3} + \cdots a_n'$. Comparison with the heat expansion shows that $a_2' = c_n \int V^2 \neq 0$. That immediately provides a contradiction in the case of $n = 3$. When $n \geq 5$ we use the representation (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma'(\lambda) &= \operatorname{tr} S_V(\lambda)^* \partial_\lambda S_V(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \partial_\lambda a(\lambda,\theta,\theta) d\theta + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \overline{a(\lambda,\omega,\theta)} \partial_\lambda a(\lambda,\omega,\theta) d\omega d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption that R_V is holomorphic, that is no poles, (2.3) then shows that $\sigma'(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n-3})$ as $\lambda \to 0$. But this contradicts $a_2' \neq 0$, since that would imply a lower order of vanishing at $\lambda = 0$.

We now use Theorem 3 to show that if $V \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then R_V has infinitely many poles. This is again seen by contradiction, by assuming that $\det S_V(\lambda)$ has only finitely many resonances. In that case, let $-\mu_1^2 < -\mu_2^2 \leq \cdots \leq -\mu_{K'}^2 < 0$, $\mu_k > 0$, denote the negative eigenvalues of P_V, and let $i\rho_j$, $\rho_j < 0$, $j = 1,\ldots,J_1$, $\lambda_j \neq -\bar\lambda_j$, $j = 1,\ldots,J_2$ the remaining finite set of resonances. Proposition 2.1 gives

$$\det S_V(\lambda) = (-1)^m e^{g(\lambda)} \prod_{k=1}^{K'} \frac{\lambda + i\mu_k}{\lambda - i\mu_k} \prod_{j=1}^{J_1} \frac{\lambda + i\rho_j}{\lambda - i\rho_j} \prod_{j=1}^{J_2} \frac{\lambda - \bar\lambda_j}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \frac{\lambda - \lambda_j}{\lambda + \bar\lambda_j}.$$

Hence for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma'(\lambda) - g'(\lambda) = &-\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{K'} \frac{\mu_j}{\lambda^2 + \mu_j^2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{J_1} \frac{\rho_j}{\lambda^2 + \rho_j^2} \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{J_2} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im}\lambda_j}{|\lambda - \lambda_j|^2} + \frac{\operatorname{Im}\lambda_j}{|\lambda + \lambda_j|^2} \right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

That implies that

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\sigma'(\lambda) - g'(\lambda)\right) d\lambda = -\tfrac{1}{2}K' + \tfrac{1}{2}J_1 + J_2. \tag{2.12}$$

where $K' \leq K$ is the number of negative eigenvalues. We compare this with Proposition 2.2 and the expansion in Theorem 3: if $V \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then (3.1) shows that

$$\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} c_k' t^{-\frac{n}{2}+k} + \mathcal{O}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

In particular,

$$\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}) - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} c'_k\, t^{-\frac{n}{2}+k} \to 0, \qquad t \to 0+\,. \tag{2.13}$$

Since the terms on the right hand side of (2.11) make bounded contributions, comparison with (2.9) shows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} c'_k\, t^{-\frac{n}{2}+k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty g'(\lambda) e^{-t\lambda^2} dt.$$

Using (2.9) and (2.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}) - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} c'_k\, t^{-\frac{n}{2}+k} &= \operatorname{tr}(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}) - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty g'(\lambda) e^{-t\lambda^2} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty (\sigma'(\lambda) - g'(\lambda)) e^{-\lambda^2 t}\, d\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\mu_k^2 t} + \tfrac{1}{2} m\,.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $t \to 0+$ we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty (\sigma'(\lambda) - g'(\lambda))\, d\lambda + K + \tfrac{1}{2} m \;=\; K - \tfrac{1}{2} K' + \tfrac{1}{2} m + \tfrac{1}{2} J_1 + J_2 > 0\,.$$

But this contradicts (2.13).

2.4. **Why not infinitely many?** A frustrating aspect of the argument in §2.3 is that for $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, $n \geq 5$, it only shows existence of *one* resonance. The reason for that is the strong assumption in Theorem 2. If we allowed, for example, a unique (non-zero) resonance $\lambda_0 = i\rho_0$ (it has to be purely imaginary, as the symmetry $\lambda \mapsto -\bar\lambda$ would otherwise imply that there are two) then the factorization argument above would imply

$$\det S_V(\lambda) = e^{2\pi i g(\lambda)} \frac{i\rho_0 + \lambda}{i\rho_0 - \lambda}, \qquad \sigma'(\lambda) = g(\lambda) - \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\rho_0}{\lambda^2 + \rho_0^2}.$$

We now note that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-sr^2}}{1+r^2} dr \sim \tfrac{1}{2} e^s + s^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^\infty b_j s^j, \quad s \to 0+\,. \tag{2.14}$$

To see (2.14), let $I(s) := (1/\pi)\int_0^\infty e^{-s(1+r^2)}/(1+r^2) dr$. Then the right hand side of (2.14) is $e^s I(s)$, while $\partial_s I(s) = -(1/\pi)\int_0^\infty e^{-s(1+r^2)} dr = \alpha e^{-s}/s^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\alpha = 1/2\sqrt{\pi}$. Hence $I(s) = I(0) + \alpha \int_0^s e^{-s_1} s_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sim \frac{1}{2} + s^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^\infty b'_j s^j$. Multiplying by e^s gives (2.14).

Inserting (2.14) into the trace formula (2.9), and noting that if $\rho_0 > 0$ we have an eigenvalue, gives

$$\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}) = t^{-n/2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j t + \tfrac{1}{2} e^{\rho_0^2 t},$$

and we *cannot* use Theorem 2 to conclude that V is smooth. The same problem arises if we assume that we have two (or more) resonances, λ_0, $-\bar{\lambda}_0$.

The following simple example does not fit into our hypotheses, but it suggests a possible complication. Consider $n = 1$ and $V = \delta_0$. Then there is only one resonance, at $\lambda = -2i$, and the heat trace has an expansion with both integers and half-integers.

3. Heat trace expansions

For P_V given by (1.1) with $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$, it is well known that $e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}$ is trace class for $t > 0$, and if $V \in C^\infty_c$ it is known that $\operatorname{tr}\big(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}\big)$ admits a full asymptotic expansion – see for instance [vdB91] and references given there.

Theorem 2 is a consequence of a converse result that gives a sharp relation between existence of a finite expansion for the trace, and a given finite order of Sobolev regularity for V, assuming that V is real-valued.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, and that for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ one can write*

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Big(c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \cdots + c_{m+1} t^{m+1} + r_{m+2}(t) t^{m+2} \Big), \tag{3.1}$$

where $|r_{m+2}(t)| \leq C$ for $0 < t \leq 1$. Then $V \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Conversely, if $V \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then (3.1) holds with such an $r_{m+2}(t)$, and $\lim_{t \to 0^+} r_{m+2}(t) = c_{m+2}$ exists.

The proof of Therem 3 begins by using iteration to expand the heat kernel for $P_V = -\Delta + V$. The formula is

$$e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} W_k(t)\,,$$

where

$$W_k(t) = \int_{0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_k < t} e^{-(t-s_k)P_0}\, V\, e^{-(s_k - s_{k-1})P_0}\, V \, \cdots \, V\, e^{-(s_2 - s_1)P_0}\, V\, e^{-s_1 P_0} ds_1 \cdots ds_k\,.$$

Convergence of the expansion in the L^2 operator norm follows from $\|W_k(t)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \leq \|V\|^k_{L^\infty} t^k / k!$, which holds since for all s_j and t the integrand is L^2 bounded by $\|V\|^k_{L^\infty}$, and the volume of integration is $t^k/k!$.

We also have a bound on the trace class norm:

$$\|W_k(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \leq C^k\, k^{\frac{n}{2}}\, t^{k - \frac{n}{2}} / k!, \tag{3.2}$$

where n is the dimension. For this we use that the trace class is an ideal, so it suffices to show that one pair of successive terms in the product has $\mathcal{L}^1$ bound less than $C\,k^{\frac{n}{2}}\,t^{-\frac{n}{2}}$. We then observe that at least one of $t-s_k$, $s_{j+1}-s_j$ or s_1 is greater than t/k, and for that term we use the trace bound

$$\|e^{-sP_0}\chi\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \leq C\,s^{-n/2}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\chi \in C_c^\infty$ is chosen to be 1 on the support of V. To prove (3.3) we choose $\chi_1 \in C_c^\infty$ equal to 1 on $\operatorname{supp}\chi$. Then the explicit Schwartz kernel, $K_1(x,y)$ of $(1-\chi_1)e^{-sP_0}\chi$ satisfies $|\partial^\alpha K_1| \leq C_{\alpha,N}s^N(1+|x|+|y|)^{-N}$, for any α and N. Hence $\|(1-\chi_1)e^{sP_0}\chi\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = \mathcal{O}(s^\infty)$. On the other hand, if $K_2(x,y)$ is the Schwartz kernel of $e^{-sP_0/2}\chi_1$ then $\iint |K_2(x,y)|^2dxdy \leq Cs^{-n/2}$ which provides an estimate $\mathcal{O}(s^{-n/4})$ on the Hilbert–Schmidt norm. These two bounds give (3.3):

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{-sP_0}\chi\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} &\leq C\|\chi_1 e^{-sP_0}\chi_1\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} + \|(1-\chi_1)e^{-sP_0}\chi\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}\\ &\leq C\|\chi_1 e^{-sP_0/2}\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^2} + C_N s^N \leq C\,s^{-n/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2), we see that $e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}$ is of trace class for $t>0$. The trace can be brought into the sum, and we write

$$\operatorname{tr}\Big(e^{-tP_V} - e^{-tP_0}\Big) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \operatorname{tr}\big(W_k(t)\big)\,.$$

It is well known, and we include the proof, that

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_1(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\,t\int V(y)\,dy\,,$$

which shows that $c_1 = \int V$, and the expansion (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^\infty \operatorname{tr}\big(W_k(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big(c_2t^2 + \cdots + c_{m+1}t^{m+1} + r_{m+2}(t)\Big)\,.$$

Theorem 3 will then follow as a result of the following two propositions that concern the asymptotics of the individual terms $\operatorname{tr}\big(W_k(t)\big)$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}) \cap H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then one can write*

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_2(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big(c_{2,2}t^2 + \cdots + c_{2,2+m}t^{2+m} + \varepsilon(t)t^{2+m}\Big)\,, \tag{3.4}$$

with $\lim_{t\to 0^+}\varepsilon(t) = 0$ and $c_{2,2+j} = a_j\||D|^jV\|_{L^2}$ for $0\leq j\leq m$, for constants $a_j\neq 0$.

Conversely, assuming $V\in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})\cap H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if one can write

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_2(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big(c_{2,2}t^2 + \cdots + c_{2,1+m}t^{1+m} + r_{2,2+m}(t)t^{2+m}\Big)\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where $|r_{2,2+m}(t)|\leq C$ for $0<t\leq 1$, then $V\in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and hence (3.4) holds.

Proposition 3.2. *If $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for $k \geq 3$ one can write*

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_k(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Big(c_{k,k} t^k + \cdots + c_{k,k+m-1} t^{k+m-1} + r_{k,k+m}(t) t^{k+m} \Big) , \tag{3.6}$$

where, for a constant C depending on k and m, for $0 \leq j \leq m$,

$$|c_{k,k+j}| \leq C \, \|V\|_{L^\infty}^{k-2} \|V\|_{H^j}^2 , \qquad \sup_{0<t<1} |r_{k,k+m}(t)| \leq C \, \|V\|_{L^\infty}^{k-2} \|V\|_{H^m}^2 .$$

The fact that $V \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies existence of the asymptotic expansion (3.1) of order $m+2$ is an easy consequence of the above propositions. By the bound $\|W_k(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \leq C^k \, k^{\frac{n}{2}} \, t^{k-\frac{n}{2}}/k!$ we have that

$$\operatorname{tr} \sum_{k=m+3}^{\infty} W_k(t) \leq C \, t^{m+3-\frac{n}{2}} , \qquad 0 < t \leq 1 . \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 show that

$$\operatorname{tr} \sum_{k=1}^{m+2} W_k(t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Big(c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \cdots + c_{m+1} t^{m+1} + c_{m+2} t^{m+2} + \varepsilon(t) t^{m+2} \Big) ,$$

where for $j \geq 2$ we have $c_j = \sum_{k=2}^j c_{k,j}$.

The other direction of Theorem 3, that existence of an asymptotic expansion implies regularity, is carried out by induction. Assume $m \geq 1$ and $V \in L^\infty_c \cap H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which trivially holds if $m = 1$ since $L^\infty_c \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume (3.1) holds. By (3.7) this implies

$$\operatorname{tr} \sum_{k=2}^{m+2} W_k(t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Big(c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \cdots + c_{m+1} t^{m+1} + r_{m+2}(t) t^{m+2} \Big) ,$$

where $|r_{m+2}(t)| \leq C$.

By Proposition 3.2, since $V \in L^\infty_c \cap H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the same relation holds, with different coefficients that can be bounded from L^∞ and H^j norm bounds for V with $j \leq m-1$, for $\operatorname{tr} \sum_{k=3}^{m+2} W_k(t)$. Hence the relation (3.5) holds, and we conclude $V \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3.1. **Calculating $\operatorname{tr}\big(W_1(t)\big)$.** We calculate the trace of $W_1(t)$ by integrating over the diagonal

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_1(t)\big) = (4\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} s^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \, V(y) \, e^{-\frac{|y-x|^2}{4s}} \, ds \, dx \, dy .$$

The integral dx is carried out

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4} \frac{t}{(t-s)s}} \, dx = (4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} (t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}} s^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

leading to

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_1(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \, t \int V(y) \, dy .$$

(From now on the integrals without integration limits will denote integrals over $\mathbb{R}^n$.)

3.2. **Calculating** $\operatorname{tr}\big(W_2(t)\big)$**.** Again we integrate over the diagonal to write $\operatorname{tr}\big(W_2(t)\big)$ as

$$(4\pi)^{-\frac{3n}{2}} \int_{0<r<s<t} (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} (s-r)^{-\frac{n}{2}} r^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)} - \frac{|y-z|^2}{4(s-r)} - \frac{|z-x|^2}{4r}} V(y)\, V(z)\, dr\, ds\, dx\, dy\, dz\,.$$

We let $u = t - s$ and $x_0 = \left(\frac{r}{r+u}\right) y + \left(\frac{u}{r+u}\right) z$ and carry out the integral over x by writing

$$\frac{|x-y|^2}{u} + \frac{|z-x|^2}{r} = \frac{r+u}{ru}\, |x - x_0|^2 + \frac{1}{r+u}\, |y-z|^2 \tag{3.8}$$

which expresses $\operatorname{tr}\big(W_2(t)\big)$ as

$$(4\pi)^{-n} \int_{\substack{r+u<t \\ 0<r,u}} (t-u-r)^{-\frac{n}{2}} (u+r)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|y-z|^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{t-u-r} + \frac{1}{u+r}\right)} V(y)\, V(z)\, dr\, du\, dy\, dz\,.$$

Let $r = tv - u$, so $dr\, du = t\, dv\, du$, the integrand is then independent of u, the new limits are $0 < u < tv$, $0 < v < 1$, and we get

$$t^2\, (4\pi t)^{-n} \int\int\int_0^1 (1-v)^{-\frac{n}{2}} v^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}\, e^{-\frac{|y-z|^2}{4t}\frac{1}{v(1-v)}}\, V(y)\, V(z)\, dv\, dy\, dz\,.$$

Since V is real we can use the Plancherel theorem to write this as

$$t^2\, (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^1 v \left((2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-t(1-v)v|\xi|^2} \left|\widehat{V}(\xi)\right|^2 d\xi \right) dv\,.$$

By symmetry under $v \to 1 - v$ we can also write this as

$$\frac{1}{2}\, t^2\, (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^1 \left((2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-t(1-v)v|\xi|^2} \left|\widehat{V}(\xi)\right|^2 d\xi \right) dv\,.$$

The term in parentheses is continuous in t, and at $t = 0$ equals $\|V\|_{L^2}^2$, so

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_2(t)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\, t^2\, (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Big(\|V\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon(t) \Big)\,, \qquad \lim_{t\to 0^+} \varepsilon(t) = 0\,. \tag{3.9}$$

This settles the case $m = 0$ of Theorem 3 which, since $L_c^\infty \subset H^0(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is nontrivial only for the existence of the expansion (3.1) for $m = 0$. It also shows that we can recover $\|V\|_{L^2}$ from $\lim_{t\to 0^+} r_2(t)$.

Remark. If we were to assume V is Hölder-α, then to get van den Berg's bounds [vdB91] we would write

$$V(y)V(z) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(V(y)^2 + V(z)^2 - \big(V(y) - V(z)\big)^2 \Big)$$

and writing $|V(y) - V(z)|^2 \le |y - z|^{2\alpha}$ would lead to a gain of t^α for the last term on the right; the other two terms would lead to the desired leading term, so we would get $\varepsilon(t) \lesssim t^\alpha$.

3.3. **Proof of Proposition 3.1.** First consider the case $m = 1$, and suppose that we have an expansion

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_2(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\big(c_2\, t^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^3)\big)\,, \quad t \le 1\,.$$

From (3.9) we must have $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}\|V\|_{L^2}^2$. This leads to the estimate

$$\int_0^1 \int \left(\frac{1 - e^{-t(1-v)v|\xi|^2}}{t}\right) \big|\widehat{V}(\xi)\big|^2\, d\xi\, dv \le C\,, \qquad 0 < t \le 1\,.$$

The integrand is positive, so by Fatou's lemma we get

$$\left(\int_0^1 (1-v)v\, dv\right) \int |\xi|^2 \big|\widehat{V}(\xi)\big|^2\, d\xi \le C\,,$$

implying that $V \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Conversely, if $V \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ we would get such an expansion by dominated convergence.

To consider higher values of m, write

$$e^{-s} \;=\; \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\, s^j \;+\; r_m(s)\, \frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\, s^m\,, \tag{3.10}$$

where $r_m(s)$ is a smooth function, and by the Lagrange form for the remainder,

$$0 \le r_m(s) \le 1 \quad \text{if} \quad s \ge 0\,, \qquad r_m(0) = 1\,, \qquad \partial_s r_m(0) = \frac{-1}{m+1}\,. \tag{3.11}$$

Now suppose that $V \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $m \ge 1$. Then we can expand

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int e^{-t(1-v)v|\xi|^2} \big|\widehat{V}(\xi)\big|^2\, d\xi\right) dv = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{j!}\int_0^1 (1-v)^j v^j\, dv\right)\left(\int |\xi|^{2j} \big|\widehat{V}(\xi)\big|^2\, d\xi\right) t^j$$
$$+ \frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\left(\int_0^1 \int r_m\big(t(1-v)v|\xi|^2\big)(1-v)^m v^m\, |\xi|^{2m} \big|\widehat{V}(\xi)\big|^2\, d\xi\, dv\right) t^m\,.$$

The coefficient of t^m is continuous in t, and converges to $a_m\, \||D|^m V\|_{L^2}^2$ as $t \to 0$, where $a_m \ne 0$. Thus, if we can write

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_2(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} c_j\, t^j + \mathcal{O}\big(t^{m+1}\big)\right), \quad t \le 1\,,$$

then $c_j = a_j \||D|^j V\|_{L^2}^2$ for $0 \le j \le m$, and in addition we have uniform bounds for $0 < t \le 1$

$$\int_0^1 \int \left(\frac{1 - r_m\big(t(1-v)v|\xi|^2\big)}{t}\right) (1-v)^m v^m |\xi|^{2m} \big|\widehat{V}(\xi)\big|^2\, d\xi\, dv \le C\,.$$

Then by Fatou's lemma and (3.11) we get

$$\frac{1}{m+1}\left(\int_0^1 (1-v)^{m+1} v^{m+1}\, dv\right)\left(\int |\xi|^{2(m+1)} \big|\widehat{V}(\xi)\big|^2\, d\xi\right) \le C\,,$$

so necessarily $V \in H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, completing the proof of Proposition 3.1.

3.4. **Trace of $W_k(t)$ for $k \geq 3$.** To estimate products of derivatives, we will use the following particular case of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Moser inequalities.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are multi-indices, with $|\alpha_j| \leq m$, and $\sum_j |\alpha_j| = 2m$. If $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for a constant C depending only on n and m,*

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^k (\partial^{\alpha_j} u_j) \Big\|_{L^1} \leq C \Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \|u_j\|_{L^\infty} \Big)^{k-2} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \|D^m u_j\|_{L^2} \Big)^2 .$$

Proof. We use the following bound [Tay11, (3.17) in §13.3]. Assuming $u \in L^\infty \cap H^m$,

$$\|\partial^{\alpha_j} u_j\|_{L^{\frac{2m}{|\alpha_j|}}} \leq C \, \|u_j\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{|\alpha_j|}{m}} \|D^m u_j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{|\alpha_j|}{m}} .$$

The result follows by Hölder's inequality after taking the product over j. □

We now write $\operatorname{tr}\big(W_k(t)\big)$ for $t > 0$ as an integral

$$\int_{0<s_1<\cdots<s_k<t} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y_k|^2}{4(t-s_k)} - \frac{|y_k-y_{k-1}|^2}{4(s_k-s_{k-1})} \cdots - \frac{|y_1-x|^2}{4s_1}} V(y_k)\cdots V(y_1)}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}(k+1)} (t-s_k)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdots (s_2-s_1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (s_1)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_k \, ds_1 \cdots ds_k \, dx \, .$$

After integrating over x, and letting $s_j = t r_j$, then letting $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ denote the set $\{r \in \mathbb{R}^k \,:\, 0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_k < 1\}$, we obtain

$$t^k \int_\Sigma \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} \frac{e^{-\frac{|y_k-y_{k-1}|^2}{4t(r_k-r_{k-1})} \cdots - \frac{|y_2-y_1|^2}{4t(r_2-r_1)} - \frac{|y_1-y_k|^2}{4t(1+r_1-r_k)}} V(y_k)\cdots V(y_1)}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}k} (r_k-r_{k-1})^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdots (r_2-r_1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (1+r_1-r_k)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \, dy \, dr \, .$$

To analyse this, we introduce variables $u_1 = y_1$, and $u_j = y_j - y_1$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$. Then $du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_k = dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_k$, so the formula for $\operatorname{tr}\big(W_k(t)\big)$ becomes

$$\frac{t^k}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_\Sigma \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} G_{r,t}(u') \; V(u_1+u_k)\cdots V(u_1+u_2) V(u_1) \, du \, dr \, , \tag{3.12}$$

where $G_{r,t}(u')$ is the Gaussian function of $u' = (u_2, \ldots, u_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{k-1}$

$$G_{r,t}(u_2, \ldots, u_k) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\left(\frac{|u_k|^2}{1+r_1-r_k} + \frac{|u_k-u_{k-1}|^2}{r_k-r_{k-1}} \cdots + \frac{|u_3-u_2|^2}{r_3-r_2} + \frac{|u_2|^2}{r_2-r_1} \right)}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}(k-1)} (1+r_1-r_k)^{\frac{n}{2}} (r_k-r_{k-1})^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdots (r_2-r_1)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \, .$$

Applying successively the following equality, which is a special case of (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|u_{j+1}-u_j|^2}{r_{j+1}-r_j} + \frac{|u_j|^2}{r_j-r_1} \\ &\qquad = \frac{r_{j+1}-r_1}{(r_{j+1}-r_j)(r_j-r_1)} \left| u_j - \frac{r_j-r_1}{r_{j+1}-r_1} \, u_{j+1} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{r_{j+1}-r_1} \, |u_{j+1}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

we can write the quadratic term in the exponent of $G_{r,t}$ as

$$\frac{|u_k|^2}{(1+r_1-r_k)(r_k-r_1)} + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(r_{j+1}-r_1)}{(r_{j+1}-r_j)(r_j-r_1)} \Big| u_j - \frac{r_j-r_1}{r_{j+1}-r_1}\, u_{j+1} \Big|^2 \tag{3.13}$$

In particular we see that, for all $t>0$ and $r\in\Sigma$,

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k-1}} G_{r,t}(u')\, du' = 1\,.$$

For $t>0$ consider the k-linear form

$$B_t(V_1,\dots,V_k) = \int_\Sigma \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} G_{r,t}(u')\; V_k(u_1+u_k)\cdots V_2(u_1+u_2)V_1(u_1)\, du\, dr\,.$$

By Hölder's inequality applied to the integral over u_1, we have

$$|B_t(V_1,\dots,V_k)| \le \prod_{j=1}^k \|V_j\|_{L^k(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,,$$

and thus B_t is uniformly continuous on bounded sets in $L^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^k$. The quadratic form (3.13) is bounded below by $c\,|u'|^2$, for $c>0$ independent of $r\in\Sigma$. An approximation to the identity argument then shows that B_t is continuous over $t\in[0,\infty)$, for fixed elements of $L^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^k$, where we set

$$B_0(V_1,\dots,V_k) = \frac{1}{k!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_k(u_1)\cdots V_1(u_1)\, du_1\,.$$

Consequently, we can write

$$\operatorname{tr}\big(W_k(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\, t^k\, B_t(V)\,, \qquad B_t(V)\in C\big([0,\infty)\big)\,, \quad B_0(V) = \frac{1}{k!}\int V(y)^k\, dy\,.$$

Here we set $B_t(V) = B_t(V,\dots,V)$, which, by the above, is for each t a continuous function of $V\in L^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We start by demonstrating an m-th order expansion of $B_t(V)$ when $V\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})$, after which we will show it applies to $V\in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})\cap H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by taking limits.

For $2\le j\le k$ we write

$$V(u_j+u_1) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{i\eta_j\cdot(u_1+u_j)}\widehat{V}(\eta_j)$$

and plug this into (3.12) to express

$$B_t(V) = (2\pi)^{-n(k-1)}\int_\Sigma\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k-1}} e^{-tQ_r(\eta')}\widehat{V}(\eta_k)\cdots\widehat{V}(\eta_2)\,\overline{\widehat{V}(\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_k)}\, d\eta_2\cdots d\eta_k\, dr\,.$$

where $Q_r(\eta')$ is the quadratic form inverse to (3.13), and where $\widehat{V}(-\zeta) = \overline{\widehat{V}(\zeta)}$ since V is real valued.

We expand $\exp\bigl(-tQ_r(\eta')\bigr)$ as in (3.10). The first $m-1$ terms give contributions to $B_t(V)$ of the form

$$(2\pi)^{-n(k-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\, t^j \int Q(\eta')^j\, \widehat{V}(\eta_k)\cdots\widehat{V}(\eta_2)\, \overline{\widehat{V}(\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_k)}\, d\eta_2\cdots d\eta_k\,,$$

where $Q(\eta')$ is the quadratic form obtained by integrating $Q_r(\eta')$ over r. The key observation we need is that we can write

$$Q(\eta')^j = \sum C_{\alpha_k,\ldots,\alpha_1}\, \eta_k^{\alpha_k}\,\cdots\,\eta_2^{\alpha_2}(\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_k)^{\alpha_1}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i| = 2j$, and $|\alpha_i| \le j$ for every i.

Thus, the coefficient of t^j is such a linear combination of terms of the form

$$(2\pi)^{-n(k-1)} \int \widehat{(\partial^{\alpha_k}V)}(\eta_k)\cdots\widehat{(\partial^{\alpha_2}V)}(\eta_2)\, \overline{\widehat{(\partial^{\alpha_1}V)}(\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_k)}\, d\eta_2\cdots d\eta_k\,,$$

This integral is equal to

$$\int (\partial^{\alpha_k}V)(y)\cdots(\partial^{\alpha_2}V)(y)\,(\partial^{\alpha_1}V)(y)\,dy\,,$$

which by Lemma 3.3 is bounded by $C\,\|V\|_{L^\infty}^{k-2}\|D^jV\|_{L^2}^2$. This establishes the bounds of Proposition 3.2 on the coefficients $c_{k,j+k}$, provided $V\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The m-th order remainder is a constant times

$$t^m \int_0^1 (1-s)^{m-1} \int_\Sigma \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k-1}} e^{-stQ_r(\eta')} Q_r(\eta')^m\, \widehat{V}(\eta_k)\cdots\widehat{V}(\eta_2)\, \overline{\widehat{V}(\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_k)}\, d\eta'\, dr\, ds\,,$$

which by a similar argument can be written as an integral over r and s of various polynomials in r,s times

$$t^m \int e^{-stQ_r(\eta')} \widehat{(\partial^{\alpha_k}V)}(\eta_k)\cdots\widehat{(\partial^{\alpha_2}V)}(\eta_2)\, \overline{\widehat{(\partial^{\alpha_1}V)}(\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_k)}\, d\eta_2\cdots d\eta_k\,,$$

with $|\alpha_i|\le m$, and $\sum_i|\alpha_i| = 2m$. We now show that, uniformly over $r\in\Sigma$, and $t>0$,

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n(k-1)}} \left| \int e^{-tQ_r(\eta')} \widehat{v_k}(\eta_k)\cdots\widehat{v_2}(\eta_2)\, \overline{\widehat{v_1}(\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_k)}\, d\eta' \right| \le \prod_{j=1}^k \|v_j\|_{L^{p_j}}\,, \qquad (3.14)$$

whenever $2\le p_j\le\infty$ and $\sum_j p_j^{-1} = 1$. We note that the proof of Lemma 3.3 bounds the right hand side of (3.14), with $p_j = 2m/|\alpha_j|$ and $v_j = \partial^{\alpha_j}V$, by $\|V\|_{L^\infty}^{k-2}\|V\|_{H^m}^2$. The bounds on $r_{k,k+m}(t)$ in Proposition 3.2 will then follow for $V\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The left hand side of (3.14) equals

$$\left| \int G_{r,t}(y_2-x,\ldots,y_k-x)\, v_k(y_k)\cdots v_2(y_2)\, v_1(x)\, dx\, dy_2\cdots dy_k \right|.$$

The kernel $G_{r,t}$ is positive and has total integral 1, so for proving the bound we may assume each v_j is nonnegative. By interpolation, we may restrict to the case that two

of the p_j's are equal to 2, and the rest equal ∞. There are then two distinct cases to consider: $p_1 = p_2 = 2$, or $p_2 = p_3 = 2$. In the first case, we dominate the integral by

$$\|v_k\|_{L^\infty} \cdots \|v_3\|_{L^\infty} \int K(y_2 - x)\, v_2(y_2)\, v_1(x)\, dy_2\, dx \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$K(z) = \int G_{r,t}(z, y_3, \ldots, y_k)\, dy_3 \cdots dy_k\,.$$

Since $\int K = 1$, by Young's inequality the integral in (3.15) is bounded by $\|v_2\|_{L^2}\|v_1\|_{L^2}$.

In case $p_2 = p_3 = 2$, we bound the integral by

$$\|v_k\|_{L^\infty} \cdots \|v_4\|_{L^\infty}\|v_1\|_{L^\infty} \int K(y_2, y_3)\, v_3(y_3 - x)\, v_2(y_2 - x)\, dy_2\, dy_3\, dx\,, \tag{3.16}$$

where now

$$K(y_2, y_3) = \int G_{r,t}(y_2, y_3, y_4, \ldots, y_k)\, dy_4 \cdots dy_k\,.$$

Thus $\widehat{K}(\eta_2, \eta_3) = e^{-tQ_r(\eta_2, \eta_3, 0, \ldots, 0)}$. Writing v_2 and v_3 in terms of their Fourier transforms, and integrating out y_2 and y_3, expresses the integral in (3.16) as

$$(2\pi)^{-2n} \int e^{-ix(\eta_2+\eta_3)} e^{-tQ_r(-\eta_2, -\eta_3, 0, \ldots, 0)}\, \widehat{v_3}(\eta_3)\, \widehat{v_2}(\eta_2)\, d\eta_2\, d\eta_3\, dx$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-tQ_r(-\eta_2, \eta_2, 0, \ldots, 0)} \widehat{v_3}(-\eta_2) \widehat{v_2}(\eta_2)\, d\eta_2\,,$$

which is bounded by $\|v_3\|_{L^2}\|v_2\|_{L^2}$ by the Schwarz inequality, as $Q_r \geq 0$.

It remains to show the expansion holds for general $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We set $\phi_\varepsilon * V = V_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\phi_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-n}\phi(\varepsilon^{-1}\cdot)$ is a family of smooth compactly supported mollifiers.

Recall that $\operatorname{tr}\big(W_k(t)\big) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} t^k B_t(V)$. Since for each t, $B_t(V)$ is continuous in V in the $L^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ topology, then $B_t(V) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} B_t(V_\varepsilon)$. Furthermore, since $\|V_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|V\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|V_\varepsilon\|_{H^m} \leq \|V\|_{H^m}$, we have the following bounds, uniform for $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|r_{k,k+m}(t, V_\varepsilon)\| \leq C\, \|V\|_{L^\infty}^{k-2} \|V\|_{H^m}^2\,.$$

It thus remains to show that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_{k,k+j}(V_\varepsilon) = c_{k,k+j}(V)$ if $j \leq m - 1$, for appropriately defined $c_{k,k+j}(V)$ satisfying the bounds of Proposition 3.2.

Recall that $c_{k,k+j}(V_\varepsilon)$ can be written as a linear combination of terms of the form

$$\int (\partial^{\alpha_k} V_\varepsilon)(y) \cdots (\partial^{\alpha_1} V_\varepsilon)(y)\, dy\,, \tag{3.17}$$

where $|\alpha_i| \leq j$ for all i, and $\sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i| = 2j$. We define $c_{k,k+j}(V)$ by the same formula, which by Lemma 3.3 is well defined, and absolutely dominated by $\|V\|_{L^\infty}^{k-2} \|D^j V\|_{L^2}^2$.

To see that (3.17) converges, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, to the same expression with V_ε replaced by V, we note that, by the proof of Lemma 3.3, $\partial^{\alpha_i} V \in L^{\frac{2m}{|\alpha_i|}}$, so for $|\alpha_i| > 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|\partial^{\alpha_i} V_\varepsilon - \partial^{\alpha_i} V\|_{L^{\frac{2m}{|\alpha_i|}}} = 0\,.$$

Thus, the product of the $\partial^{\alpha_i} V_\varepsilon$ in (3.17) with $|\alpha_i| \neq 0$ converges in $L^{\frac{m}{j}}$ to the same product with V_ε replace by V. Since $\frac{m}{j} > 1$, the integral in (3.17) converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$ by the fact that $V_\varepsilon \to V$ in L^p for all $p < \infty$.

References

[BaSa95] R. Bañuelos and A. Sá Barreto, *On the heat trace of Schrödinger operators*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, **20**(1995), 2153–2164.

[vdB91] M. van den Berg, *On the trace of the difference of Schrödinger heat semigroups,* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 119 (1991), no. 1-2, 161–175.

[Br84] J. Brüning, *On the compactness of isospectral potentials*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, **9**(1984), 687–698.

[Ch99] T. Christiansen, *Some lower bounds on the number of resonances in Euclidean scattering*, Math. Res. Letters **6**(1999), 203–211.

[Ch05] T. Christiansen, *Several complex variables and the distribution of resonances in potential scattering.* Comm. Math. Phys. **259**(2005), 711–728.

[Ch06] T. Christiansen, *Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials and no resonances.* Duke Math. J. **133**(2006), 313–323.

[ChHi05] T. Christiansen and P. Hislop, *The resonance counting function for Schrödinger operators with generic potentials,* Math. Res. Lett. **12**(2005), 821–826.

[ChHi10] T. Christiansen and P. Hislop, *Maximal order of growth for the resonance counting functions for generic potentials in even dimensions.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **59**(2010), 621–660.

[CdV81] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Une formule de traces pour l'opérateurs de Schrödinger dans R^3,* Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4éme série, **14**(1981), 27–39.

[CdV12] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Semiclassical trace formulas and heat expansions,* Anal. PDE **5**(2012), 693–703.

[DiVu13] T.-C. Dinh and D.-V. Vu, *Asymptotic number of scattering resonances for generic Schrödingier operators,* Comm. Math. Phys. 326(2014), 185–208.

[Do04] H. Donnelly, Compactness of isospectral potentials, Trans. A.M.S. **357**(2005), 1717-1730.

[DyZw] S. Dyatlov and M. Zworski, *Mathematical theory of scattering resonances,* book in preparation; http://math.berkeley.edu/~zworski/res.pdf

[Gil04] P. B. Gilkey, *Asymptotic formulae in spectral geometry*, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.

[Gu81] L. Guillopé, *Asymptotique de la phase de diffusion pour l'opérateur de Schrödinger avec potentiel,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **293**(1981), 601–603.

[HiPo03] M. Hitrik and I. Polterovich, *Regularized traces and Taylor expansions for the heat semigroup,* J. London Math. Soc. **68**(2003), 402–418.

[Je90] A. Jensen, *High energy asymptotics for the total scattering phase in potential scattering theory.* Functional-analytic methods for partial differential equations (Tokyo, 1989), 187–195, Lecture Notes in Math., **1450**, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[JeKa79] A. Jensen and T. Kato, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions,* Duke Math. J. **46**(1979), 583-611.

[McMo75] H. McKean and P. van Moerbeke, The Spectrum of Hill's Equation, Invent. Math. **30**(1975), 217–274.

[Me95] R.B. Melrose, *Geometric Scattering Theory,* Cambridge University Press 1995.

[Sa01] A. Sá Barreto, *Remarks on the distribution of resonances in odd dimensional Euclidean scattering,* Asymptot. Anal. **27**(2001), 161–170.

[SaZw96] A. Sá Barreto and M. Zworski, *Existence of resonances in potential scattering.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **49**(1996), 1271–1280.

[Tay11] M.E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III: Nonlinear Equations,* Applied Mathematical Sciences, **118**, 2nd edition, Springer 2011.

[Ti64] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, 1964.

[Zw89] M. Zworski, *Sharp polynomial bounds on the number of scattering poles,* Duke Math. J. **59**(1989), 311–323.

[Zw97] M. Zworski, *Poisson formula for resonances,* Séminaire E.D.P. (1996–1997), Exposé no XIII, 12 p.

[Zw12] M. Zworski, *Semiclassical analysis,* Graduate Studies in Mathematics **138**, AMS, 2012.

E-mail address: hart@math.washington.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

E-mail address: zworski@math.berkeley.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA